Littoral Zone Research in the Neva Estuary (Eastern Gulf of Finland): History and Perspectives
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Abstract

The history of littoral zone research in the Neva estuary, the largest estuary in the Baltic Sea, is described. Environmental problems, including effects of eutrophication and introductions of alien species, are discussed. A brief outline of future research is provided.

Key words: Gulf of Finland, Neva estuary, littoral zone, eutrophication, alien species, history of research

The Neva estuary is the largest estuary in the Baltic Sea, located in the eastern Gulf of Finland. It has sustained a heavy impact from human activities, including discharges of treated and untreated wastewaters from point sources in St. Petersburg and intensive ship traffic. The Neva estuary consists of three main parts: Neva Bay (surface area 400 km²), and the inner and outer estuaries (total surface area of the last two parts about 3200 km²) (Pitkänen, 1991). Since the early 1980s freshwater Neva Bay has been separated from the brackish lower reaches of the estuary by a storm-surge barrier, which is still under construction (Fig. 1).

The coastal zone of the estuary is intensively exploited for recreation (specifically in the Resort District of St. Petersburg), sport and commercial fishing, military purposes, and various industrial uses including nuclear power and shipping. The coastal zone also receives discharges of treated and untreated wastewaters, occurring mainly in the lower Neva River and in Neva Bay. Heavy nutrient loading (eutrophication), mainly from point sources in the Neva River and the upper estuary, is currently the most serious environmental problem for the Neva estuary and adjacent parts of the eastern Gulf of Finland (Alimov et al., 1996; Leppänen et al., 1997). The introduction of alien species is an emerging issue for the Neva estuary (Alimov et al., 1998; Orlova et al., 1999b; Panov et al., 1999), and it should be considered very seriously, given the rapid development of the shipping industry in this area.

Early research and monitoring efforts, started at the beginning of the 1900s, focused on the open areas of the Neva estuary (reviewed by Finogenova et al., 1987 and Balushkina et al., 1996) despite the fact that eutrophication effects are much more obvious in the littoral zone (Panov et al., 1997; Orlova et al., 1999a). As a result of eutrophication, extensive macrophyte beds have developed along the shoreline in Neva Bay, and mats of filamentous green algae (mainly Cladophora glomerata) occur during summer in the exposed littoral zone of the inner estuary. Intensive growth of macrophyte beds and filamentous algae have caused deterioration of the environmental quality of nearshore recreational areas.

The first study of the estuary’s littoral zone was conducted in 1935-37 by scientists of Leningrad State University (Anon., 1949). It included a detailed description of the structure of the macroinvertebrate community along one transect at the south shore of Neva Bay near Peterhof (Fig. 1). Almost 50 years later, in 1982-86, scientists from the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences initiated the
first comprehensive studies of littoral communities in Neva Bay. During this period important back-
goard data on the structural-functional organization of littoral communities were obtained, including de-
tailed descriptions of littoral macrophyte associations (Belavskaya, 1987; Korelyakova, 1997) and zoobent-
hic communities (Golubkov et al., 1987a), and a special study of production processes in macrophyte
beds (Golubkov et al., 1987b). Detailed studies of invertebrate communities associated with aquatic
plants were conducted during 1983-86 at one location on the northern shore of Neva Bay, and the main
results were published in a book (Alimov, 1988).

The exposed littoral zone of the inner estuary was not studied until 1998, but occasional observations
of the beaches in the St. Petersburg Resort District had revealed increasing development of algal mats as
a result of severe eutrophication of the estuary (Shishkin et al., 1989). Subsequent studies of macrophyte
associations in Neva Bay conducted in 1990 by Korelyakova (1997) showed an accelerating rate of
growth of emergent macrophytes, which was attributed mainly to hydrological changes in the ecosystem
after the construction of the storm-surge barrier. This study also showed deteriorating environmental
quality in the nearshore habitats. As was further argued by Panov et al. (1997), the development of ex-
tensive macrophyte beds and mats of Cladophora in the estuary during recent decades indicated heavy
eutrophication. Special research efforts are needed in order to obtain essential data on functional parame-
ters of the littoral zone to support its management.

The first detailed study of the exposed littoral zone of the inner estuary was conducted by scientists
from the Zoological Institute in 1998. This study focused on the environmental state assessment for the
beaches in the St. Petersburg Resort District (Fig. 1), and for the first time provided recommendations
for the organization of littoral zone monitoring (Orlova et al., 1999a). The study provided the first
test to evaluate the main factors influencing the environmental quality within the Neva estuary
littoral zone, including the development of Cladophora mats and effects of alien species such as zebra
mussel (Dreissena polymorpha). The seasonal dynamics of Cladophora biomass in the shallow stony
littoral and quantitative characteristics of storm casts on the beaches (mainly decaying Cladophora mats)
were shown to be the most appropriate indicators of the environmental quality in the coastal zone of the St. Petersburg Resort District (Orlova et al., 1999a). The volume of these casts averaged several tonnes (in wet mass) per 100 m of beach shoreline after an ordinary storm in summer. Because mechanical removal of decaying Cladophora mats is expensive and limited to the main beaches, most resort sites along the shoreline are not suitable for recreation during July-August. Decaying organic material on the beaches is an additional source of nutrients for newly developing Cladophora mats in the littoral zone, enhancing the development of macrophyte beds on some beaches.

Changes in the coastal zone in the estuary due to invasions of alien species were discussed in detail in recent reviews by Orlova et al. (1999b) and Panov et al. (1999). Studies of the biodiversity of the coastal waters of the Neva estuary, conducted in 1995-2000 within the framework of the International Program "Gulf of Finland Year 1996" and the Russian State Program "Biodiversity", have shown that several alien species have successfully established in the Neva estuary littoral communities. Biological invasions have resulted in the development of new communities in the littoral zone, dominated by the Ponto-Caspian cnidarian Cordylophora caspia and the zebra mussel Dreissena polymorpha, with the New Zealand mud snail Potamopyrgus antipodarum and North American barnacle Balanus improvisus dominating more saline areas, and the Baikalian amphipod Gmelinoides fasciatus and Ponto-Caspian amphipod Pontogammarus robustoides dominating oligosaline and freshwater locations. The Amur sleeper (rotan) Perccottus glenii is currently one of the most abundant fishes in the Neva Bay littoral zone (S. Anatsky, pers. comm.). These alien species are likely to play an important role in the structural and functional organization of coastal zone ecosystems, although at present this role is not well evaluated.

Species of Siberian origin such as G. fasciatus and P. glenii were introduced intentionally to the Neva estuary basin (Panov, 1996; Alimov et al., 1998). These two alien species can be considered a serious threat to the biodiversity of the ecosystem (Panov et al., 1999). A recent invader, G. fasciatus has already replaced the native amphipod Gammarus lacustris in Neva Bay (Panov et al., 1999) and has established dense populations in the littoral zone of the inner estuary, where it co-exists with P. robustoides, an alien amphipod of Ponto-Caspian origin (Panov, unpubl.). The most serious effect upon the littoral ecosystems of the inner estuary is likely to result from the invasion of D. polymorpha. Dreissena was first found in the Neva estuary in the late 1980s. By 1998 it was well established in littoral communities in the inner estuary, reaching densities up to 150 ind/m² and biomass 350 g/m² (Orlova et al., 1999a, b).

Essential background data on the structure and function of the littoral communities were obtained for Neva Bay during 1982-90 and for the exposed littoral zone of the inner estuary in 1998. These allowed the first official recommendations for the organization of littoral zone monitoring in the Neva estuary to be developed (Balushkina et al., 1999).

In 2000 a new period started in the Neva estuary littoral zone research, including studies conducted within the frameworks of national and international projects. These research efforts focus upon the main factors limiting the development of macrophyte beds and filamentous algae in littoral habitats, along with evaluation of the functional role of alien species. They will provide a scientific basis for the development and implementation of the coastal zone management plans for the Neva estuary. Special research efforts directed toward the assessment of the environmental impact and the development of a management plan for the control of established nuisance species and for the prevention or minimization of the risk of new invasions to the Neva estuary area are needed. These research efforts should include the collection of data on the distribution of alien species in the Neva estuary, the development of a database on alien species in the form of a geographic information system (GIS), analysis of the biology and environmental requirements of target alien species, assessment of the environmental impact of alien species on natural communities, and the development of a cost-effective programme to monitor natural biodiversity and alien species.
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